

### Introduction

- Administrative data is frequently used to study clinical outcomes in renal disease, however, these databases are not standardized and key variables require proper validation.
- Race is an important determinant of health outcomes at all stages of kidney disease, but has not been validated in most administrative data and the correlation with census-based definitions of race is unknown.
- Validation of Race in administrative data would allow use of this variable for clinical research

### **Objectives**

- Validation of Self-Reported Race in PROMIS (PROMIS SRR) against gold standard self reported race from patient surveys (Survey SRR)
- Comparison of Self-Reported Race in PROMIS to Canadian Census Categorization of race (Census SRR)

#### Methods

- Cross sectional telephone surveys of a random sample from PROMIS conducted between February to November 2016.
- Inclusion criteria: adults >18 years of age in the PROMIS database in British Columbia
- Eligible patients were contacted by multilingual trained research coordinators via telephone and consenting patients completed the questionnaire over the telephone in language of their preference
- Responders were asked to select a race category from PROMIS (survey SRR) and a race category from the 2011 Canadian Census (Census SRR)
- When validating the PROMIS SRR, survey SRR was considered the gold-standard.
- PROMIS SRR was then compared to the Census SRR
- Sensitivity (Sn) and specificity (Sp) were calculated with 95% confidence intervals. Positive Predictive Values (PPV) and Negative Predictive Values (NPV) were calculated.
- The analyses were repeated in a priori determined subgroups based on age, sex, country of birth other than Canada, and type of renal program (CKD, GN, transplant recipient, PD, HD and living donors)

# **Validation of Self Reported Race in PROMIS**

Aiza Waheed <sup>1</sup>, Ognjenka Djurdjev<sup>2</sup>, James Dong<sup>2</sup>, Jagbir Gill<sup>1,2</sup> and Sean Barbour<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of British Columbia, <sup>2</sup>BC Provincial Renal Agency

### Results

- 21,039 patients met inclusion criteria, 1,677 were selected for the survey and 637 participated (38% response rate).
- There were no differences between the entire PROMIS population, those selected for and those who responded to the survey.

е	Mean Age (SD) Female (%) Household Income (%)	All (N=637) 65(14) 47	Caucasian (N=404) 65(13) 47	East Asian (N=65) 66(14) 37	South Asian (N=62) 63(15) 56	Filipino (N=20) 56(11) 65	Aboriginal (N=19) 63(13) 53	<b>P-value</b> <0.001 0.180
	Less than \$25,000 \$25,000-49,000 \$50,000-74,000 \$75,000-99,000 Over \$100,000	21 24 16 12 16	18 24 19 11 17	28 20 14 14 12	26 16 15 13 15	5 40 10 20 20	32 16 5 5 21	0.036
<b>&gt;</b>	Renal Group (%) GN CKD HD PD TX Live donors	14 19 23 11 20 13	15 18 19 11 22 15	17 15 22 19 19 9	11 13 44 10 16 6	5 5 30 25 15 20	16 16 46 5 16 0	<0.001
	Residence in Lower Mainland (%) Birth outside Canada (%)	61 36	48 18	90	81 87	(N=20)         (N=19)         P-Val           56(11)         63(13)         <0.00	<0.001	

**Table 1**: Characteristics of the patients included in the survey based on PROMIS self-reported race categories.

- PROMIS SRR had an accuracy of 95.3% (CI 94.2-97.0%) when validated against the survey SRR with Sn and Sp ≥90% in all race groups, except the Aboriginal group (Table 2)
- The positive and negative predictive values were ≥95%, except in the low and high prevalence groups, respectively.

PROMIS SRR	Sn (%)	95% CI	Sp (%)	95% CI	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
Filipino	90.5	75.1-99.9	99.8	99.5-100.0	95.0	99.7
South Asian	95.3	91.3-100.0	99.8	98.5-100.0	98.4	99.5
East Asian	96.9	87.1-99.0	99.5	98.7-100.0	95.4	99.7
Caucasian	91.5	94.2-98.1	93.4	89.3-98.8	96.5	84.5
Aboriginal	87.5	48.8-90.9	99.2	97.9-99.6	73.7	99.0
Other	54.8	46.3-65.8	99.3	95.2-99.8	85.2	96.9

**Table 2:** Sn, Sp, PPV and NPV for each race category in PROMIS (PROMIS SRR) compared to the Survey SRR as the gold standard.



#### Results

• The Canadian Census had an overall accuracy of Census 95.7% (CI 94.4-97.6%) when validated against PROMIS SRR with Sn and Sp ≥90%, except in the Caucasian (Table 3)

	Census SRR	Sn (%)		Sp (%)	95% CI	PPV (%)	NPV (%)
e l	Filipino	95.0	93.3-96.7	99.8	99.5-100.0	95.0	99.8
٦	South Asian	98.0	97.4-99.4	99.3	98.6-99.9	93.8	99.8
╗	East Asian	92.3	90.2-94.3	99.5	98.9-100	95.2	99.1
씜	Caucasian	99 .8	99.3-100.0	89.1	86.7-91.5	79.4	99.0
$\dashv$	Aboriginal	89.5	87.1-91.8	97.7	96.5-98.8	54.8	99.7
	Other	9.1	6.8-11.3	99.8	99.5-100.0	50.0	98.3

**Table 3:** Sn, Sp, PPV and NPV of Canadian Census categorization (Census SRR) compared to PROMIS SRR.

 Results did not differ in subgroups based on age, sex, birth outside Canada, or renal group (figure 1)

roups	Accurac	y (95%	CI) of I	PROMI	S SRR		Accı	ıracy (9	5%CI)	of Can	adian C	ensus
Overall				-	-						-	-
Age<65				-	-						-	-
Age>=65					-							-
Male				-	-						-	-
Female				-	•-						-	_
Born in Canada				-	-							-
Born outside Canada				-	-						-	_
Glomerulonephritis				-	_						-	_
Chronic kidney disease				-	-						-	-
Hemodialysis				-	•-						-	•
Peritoneal dialysis				-	•						_	_
Transplant recipients				-	•-						-	-
Live donors					-						_	_
5	0 60	70	80	90	100	40	60	60	70	80	90	100

**Figure 1:** Accuracy of PROMIS and Census SRR for subgroups (age, sex, birth outside of Canada and renal group) with 95% confidence intervals

# Conclusions

- 1. There is high overall accuracy of PROMIS SRR that validates its use in the secondary analysis of administrative data for research purposes.
- There is high correlation between PROMIS and census race categories, which allows future research using linkage with other databases that capture census-based definitions of race.