An Evaluation of the Empiric Antibiotic Regimen for the Treatment of Peritoneal **Dialysis-Associated Peritonitis at Vancouver General Hospital**

Amanda Driver, B.Sc., B.Sc.(Pharm); Elaine Cheng, B.Sc.(Pharm), PharmD, ACPR; Suneet Singh M.D., FRCPC. Departments of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Nephrology, Vancouver General Hospital and University of British Columbia

Background

- Peritonitis is a serious complication of peritoneal dialysis (PD) that can result in unfavorable outcomes including hospitalization, peritoneal membrane failure, conversion to hemodialysis or death
- The International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) 2016 guidelines provide the following recommendations for PD-associated peritonitis:
- **Diagnostic criteria:** \geq 2 of the following criteria must be present:
- Clinical features (abdominal pain and/or cloudy dialysate)
- Dialysis effluent WBC > 100/µL with > 50% polymorphonuclear cells
- Positive dialysis effluent culture
- Empiric intraperitoneal (IP) antibiotic regimen:
- Gram positive: First generation cephalosporin or vancomycin, and
- Gram negative: Third generation cephalosporin or aminoglycoside
- The 2016 ISPD guidelines recommend antifungal prophylaxis for all PD patients receiving antibiotics to prevent fungal peritonitis
- Current practice at Vancouver General Hospital (VGH):
- Empiric antibiotic regimen: IP cefazolin together with IP ceftazidime, unless allergic to cephalosporins or history of resistant infection
- Antifungal prophylaxis with fluconazole is not routinely prescribed

Objectives

- Characterize pathogens and resistance patterns of PD-associated peritonitis episodes at VGH over the past 5 years
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the empiric antibiotic regimen used at VGH for the treatment of PD-associated peritonitis based on clinical outcomes
- Assess fungal peritonitis rates at VGH over the past 5 years and determine the need for routine fluconazole prophylaxis

Methods

- **Design:** Retrospective chart review of PD-associated peritonitis episodes (identified from the PROMIS database) at VGH over a 5 year period
- Inclusion Criteria:
 - Peritonitis episodes from January 1, 2013 December 31, 2017 in patients aged \geq 18 yrs who meet the criteria for PD-associated peritonitis
 - Peritonitis episodes with PD effluent analyzed for cell count, differential, gram stain and culture and sensitivity
 - Peritonitis episodes treated with empiric intraperitoneal (IP) antibiotics
- **Exclusion Criteria:**
 - Episodes with exit site infection only or eosinophilic peritonitis
- Analysis: Descriptive statistics

	Results							
Table 1: Per	able 1: Peritonitis Rate (# episodes per patient-year on PD)							
Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
BC	0.38	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.33			
VGH	0.33	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.09			





			Result	S					
Table 2: Patient Characteristics		Table 3: Antibiotic Sensitivity of Organisms Isolated from Dialysate							
Characteristic	n (%)	Organism	Antibiotic	% Sensitive (n _s /n _t *)	Organism	Antibiotic	% Sensitive (n _s /n _t *)		
Number of patients Male	42 18 (42.9)	Streptococci (N=13)	Penicillin G	76.9 (10/13)	Staphylococci (N=29)	Cefazolin	92.3 (24/26)		
Mean Age (years)	65 <u>+</u> 13.3		Vancomycin	100 (13/13)		Vancomycin	100 (5/5)		
Ethnicity Caucasian	12 (28.6)	Enterococci (N=6)	Vancomycin Gentamicin	83.3 (5/6) 66.7 (4/6)	Gram Negatives (N=22)	Ceftazidime Tobramycin	100 (12/12) 93.8 (15/16)		
Filipino East Asian	12 (28.6) 10 (23.8)	*(n _s /n _t) = number of sensitive isolates/total number of isolates analyzed							
Number of peritonitis episodes	62	Figure 2: Perit	onitis Episod	le Outcomes (N=62 e	pisodes)				
Exit Site Antibiotics Mupirocin Gentamicin	30 (48.4) 32 (51.6)	Resolution of In			45		5		
Dialysis Modality Continuous Cycling PD Continuous Ambulatory PD Hemodialysis	47 (75.8) 14 (22.6) 1 (1.6)	Refractory In Relapse In Recurrent In	fection 2						
Mean Duration of Dialysis (days)	938.6 <u>+</u> 898.6	Repeat In	fection 4		Sensitive to	Empiric Ther	apy (N=55)		
Resistant Organisms MRSA	1 (1.6)	Death Death I Resistant to Empiric Therapy (N=7)							
Immunosuppression	8 (12.9)	PD Tube Re	emoval	10 3			apy (N-7)		
Antibiotics in past 3 months	15 (24.2)	Transfer	to HD	10 3					
Extraperitoneal fungal infection	3 (4.8)								
Empiric gram positive Cefazolin IP Vancomycin IP	48 (77.4) 10 (16.1)	Transfer Back	to PD 21 0	5 10 15 20	25 30 3	5 40 45	50 55 60		
Empiric gram negative	10 (10.1)				Number of Epis	sodes			
Ceftazidime IP Tobramycin IP	51 (82.3) 4 (6.5)	Outcome Defin	itions						
Fluconazole Prophylaxis	15 (24.2)			ans/symptoms after 5	days of antibiotics	with no relapse	e for 4 weeks		
Figure 1: Organisms Isolated from		 Resolution of Infection – no signs/symptoms after 5 days of antibiotics with no relapse for 4 weeks Refractory Infection – failure to clear PD effluent after 5 days of antibiotics Relapse Infection – episode with same organism ≤ 4 weeks after antibiotics completed Recurrent Infection – episode with different organism ≤ 4 weeks after antibiotics completed Repeat Infection – episode with same organism > 4 weeks after antibiotics completed 							
Gram	Other Gram	Limitations/Confounders							
Negatives	Positives	Number of peritonitis episodes may be under-reported due to missed or inappropriate PROMIS entry							
23.1 /6	 29.7% 2.7% Enterococci 8.1% Additional antibiotics (eg. piperacillin/tazobactam) administered in 32.3% of episodes 								
Staphylococci Streptococci 39.2% 17.6%		Discussion/Conclusions							
Fungal Peritonitis: 0%	/	 Current empiri Resolution No episodes of 	organism(s) was sensitive to empiric antibiotic therapy in 88.7% of episodes empiric antibiotic regimen adequate for the treatment of PD-associated peritonitis at VGH ution of infection achieved in 80.6% of episodes odes of fungal peritonitis at VGH over past 5 years, therefore routine fluconazole prophylaxis not unless risk factors (eg. immunosuppression, recent antibiotics, extraperitoneal fungal infection) for						

Culture Negative Peritonitis: 9.7%

ALTH CAR

How you want to be treated.





fungal peritonitis are present