## **DISASTER PLANNING:** When Disaster Strikes, Will We Be Ready?

#### Mehmet Şükrü Sever, M.D.

**Istanbul School of Medicine** 

Coordinator

**Renal Disaster Relief Task Force of the International Society of Nephrology** 

Provincial Renal Disaster Conference 11 October, 2007 - Vancouver, Canada

# CONTENTS

**BEFORE DISASTER** Personal measures Institutional measures **DURING DISASTER** Personal measures AFTER DISASTER Personal aproach Team approach

### **Personal measures**

#### Living in earthquake-resistant buildings



# Personal measuresFixing furnitures to the walls









- Cupboards
- Wardrobes
- Bookcases

•TV's

• Air conditioners

Sever, 2005

### Institutional measures

- Educational activities
- Planning of:
  - medical personnel
  - medical items stock
  - dialysis services acute patients chronic patients
- Preparing an action plan

## Institutional measures

### Educational activities

- Medical personnel (Doctors, nurses, technicians)
- Rescue team members
- Public
- Dialysis patients

## **IMPORTANCE of EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES**

Marmara E.: 10% of the patients were receiving K<sup>+</sup> containing solutions on admission to hospitals



Sever et al, NDT 2002

## Institutional measures

### Educational activities

- Medical personnel (Doctors, nurses, technicians)
- Rescue team members
- Public
- Chronic dialysis patients

## Institutional measures

### Educational activities

- Medical personnel (Doctors, nurses, technicians)
- Rescue team members and paramedics
- Public
- Chronic dialysis patients

### **EDUCATION OF CHRONIC DIALYSIS PATIENTS**

#### WHAT TO DO: BEFORE ----- DURING ----- AFTER DISASTER



















## Institutional measures

Educational activities

### • Planning of:

- medical personnel
- medical items stock
- dialysis services acute patients chronic patients

### **RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY DURING DISASTERS - I**

### Intermittent Hemodialysis:

#### Advantages:

- High clearence rate, dialysis without anticoagulation
- Possibility to treat several pts./day at the same position

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Complicated, risk of disequilibrium syndrome
- Need for: experienced personnel, electricity and water supplies.

#### was applied to 462 pts. (overall 5137 extra sessions). during the Marmara Disaster

Collins, Crit Care Clin, 1991; Solez et al, KI, 1993; Vanholder et al, KI, 2000; Sever et al, KI, 2002

## **SORBENT (REDY) DIALYSIS in DISASTERS**

- Dialysate regeneration
- 6 lit. dialysate
- Logistic advantage
- Limited experience after mass disasters



## **Armenian earthquake:**

- Easy transportation, simplicity, min. dialysate need
- Insufficient clearance in crush patients
- Very expensive

### **RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY DURING DISASTERS - II**

#### Advantages:

Slow

Continuous

Therapy

- More gradual removal of solutes and fluid
- Can be established rapidly

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Low clearence rate, can only be applied to one patient per position / machine
- Need for experienced personnel, electricity and excessive amounts of substitution fluid

#### ..was applied to 34 pts. during the Marmara Disaster

Collins, Crit Care Clin, 1991; Solez et al, KI, 1993; Vanholder et al, KI, 2000; Sever et al, KI, 2002

### **RENAL REPLACEMENT THERAPY DURING DISASTERS -III**

#### Advantages:

- Simple, independent of power and tap water
- Initiated rapidly, no risk of disequilibrium synd.

Peritoneal Dialysis

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Difficult in pts. with abdominal / thoracic trauma
- Need for large quantities of dialysate
- Nonhygienic field conditions.

#### ..was applied to 8 pts. during the Marmara Disaster

Collins, Crit Care Clin, 1991; Solez et al, KI, 1993; Vanholder et al, KI, 2000; Sever et al, KI, 2002

## Institutional measures

Educational activities

### • Planning of:

- medical personnel
- medical items stock
- dialysis services

acute patients chronic patients

J Am Soc Nephrol 15: 1071-1076, 2004

#### Features of Chronic Hemodialysis Practice after the Marmara Earthquake JASN 2004; 15: 1071

MEHMET SUKRU SEVER,\* EKREM EREK,<sup>†</sup> RAYMOND VANHOLDER,<sup>‡</sup>

		Before disaster*	> 1 month disaster	> 3 months disaster	
	HD centers	12	8	8	
	HD machines	95	74	79	
	HD doctors	22	17	20	
	HD nurses	57	45	46	-
	HD Technicians	33	24	28	
	Total	112	86	94	

### THE FATE of CHRONIC DIALYSIS PATIENTS AFTER THE MARMARA EARTHQUAKE - II

#### 356 chr. HD patients; 212 male; age: 47±15 yrs.

#### No. of hemodialysis sessions and patients



(p=0.04 for both analyses)

Sever et al, JASN, 2004

### THE FATE of CHRONIC DIALYSIS PATIENTS AFTER THE MARMARA EARTHQUAKE - III

#### **FREQUENCY OF HEMODIALYSIS SESSIONS**



Sever et al, JASN, 2004

### THE FATE of CHRONIC DIALYSIS PATIENTS AFTER THE MARMARA EARTHQUAKE - IV

#### INTERDIALYTIC WEIGHT GAIN

Before versus: + 1 week: p=0.006 + 1 month: p=0.72 + 3 months: p=0.001

#### SYSTOLIC / DIASTOLIC B.P.

Before vs. 1 week, 1 and 3 months after disaster = NS.



### CHRONIC DIALYSIS PATIENTS COMPLY WITH DISASTER CONDITIONS

## Institutional measures

- Educational activities
- Planning of:
  - medical personnel
  - medical items stock
  - dialysis services
    - acute patients
    - chronic patients

## Preparing an action plan

# **ACTION PLAN**

- General disaster relief coordinator
- Local coordinators
- Distant coordinator
- Substitutes
- Hyperacute phase
  Acute phase

#### Earthquake Prone Regions in Turkey / The Relief Coordinators



#### Science, 2000; 288: 661-5.

## Heightened Odds of Large Earthquakes Near Istanbul: An Interaction-Based Probability Calculation

Tom Parsons,<sup>1</sup>\* Shinji Toda,<sup>2</sup> Ross S. Stein,<sup>1</sup> Aykut Barka,<sup>3</sup> James H. Dieterich<sup>1</sup>

We calculate the probability of strong shaking in Istanbul, an urban center of 10 million people, from the description of earthquakes on the North Anatolian fault system in • 32% in the next 3 years • 62% in the next 23 years

#### ISTANBUL METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY / Measures for Preventing Disaster

#### Earthquake Scenarios for Determining the Damage



#### **Postulated Earthquake Damages and Post-Event Needs**

- Heavily damaged buildings : 50,000 60,000 -
- Homeless: 500,000 600,000
- Death toll : 70,000 90,000
- 135.000 injured

- 8% of the public facilities (schools, hospitals, etc.) will be heavily damaged
- 20 out of 460 bridges have high possibility of collapse

### 3000 – 4000 crush syndrome cases

## THE DISASTER HAS OCCURED

### **General Disaster Relief Coordinator: Dr.(1)**



- Status of their hospital buildings?
  Status of patient flow to their hospital?
  Briefing and instructions on the action plan
- Contact other disaster relief coordinators
- Get information on how many nephrologists / dialysis nurses can reach the disaster area?
- Get information on how many crush patients can be handled in the hospitals of their region?
- Information on dialysis material support ?
   Information on dialysis personnel support ?



**Dr.(2)** did not contact anyone during the last 2 hours; **Dr.(3)** and **Dr.(4)** could not reach him.

#### **Dr.(2) OUT** General Disaster Relief Coordinator Dr.(3)



Dr. (4

- Status of their hospital buildings?
  Status of patient flow to their hospital?
  Briefing and instructions on the action plan
- Contact disaster relief coordinators
- Get information on how many nephrologists / dialysis nurses can reach the disaster area?
- Get information on how many crush patients can be handled in the hospitals of their region?

→ Dr.ISN

 - Information on dialysis material support ?
 - Information on dialysis personnel support ?

**Dr.(3)** did not contact anyone during the last 2 hours; **Dr.(4)** could not reach him.

#### **Dr.(3) OUT** General Disaster Relief Coordinator Dr.(4)

Dr. (4



- Status of patient flow to their hospital?
- Briefing and instructions on the action plan
- Contact disaster relief coordinators
- Get information on how many nephrologists / dialysis nurses can reach the disaster area?
- Get information on how many crush patients can be handled in the hospitals of their region?

#### **Dr.ISN** - Information on dialysis material support ? - Information on dialysis personnel support ?

## **POST-DISASTER CHAOS**

**Scenarios do NOT take place as smooth as planned** 

- Logistic problems
- Personal problems

## **THE COORDINATOR SHOULD BE:**

- Understanding
- Tolerant
- Patient

# **DURING DISASTER**

# **Fetal position**

The head must be protected by a pillow (if available) !

## EARLY AFTER DISASTER

## IF YOU ARE TRAPPED UNDER THE RUBBLE

- If you can move and see
   an exit (mostly light) ⇒
   try to go there.
- Otherwise stay calm and wait. (Every single muscle movement will spend energy and oxygen).
- Do not shout unless you hear the voice of a person that can rescue you.
- When such a voice is heard, shout and ask for HELP.



## EARLY AFTER DISASTER

## IF YOU HAD ESCAPED :

• Personal measures (for yourself and your family)

Responsibilities

## EARLY AFTER DISASTER

## **Personal Status**

**Check your own and family's health status** 

- Any personal problems  $\Rightarrow$  try to solve!
- **Do NOT** get involved in relief operations!
- Inform coordinating authorities about non-function
  - No personal problems  $\Rightarrow$
  - Make a plan for housing / food for the family
  - Start in rescue and medical interventions



## **RESCUE ACTIVITIES**
### TIME PERIOD UNDER THE RUBBLE

### TPR ⇒ critical factor influencing final outcome Prognosis of entrapped casualties is worse than that of the non-entrapped

(De Bruycker et al. Int J Epidemiol, 1985; Noji et al., Ann Emerg Med 1990)

#### Mortality is a function of duration of entrapment

(Noji et al., Int J Epidemiol 1993)

#### Prolonged entrapment delay emergency treatment

(Sever et al. Crit Care Med 2002)

### TIME PERIOD UNDER THE RUBBLE

(The Marmara earthquake experience)

Non-survivors vs. survivors: (p=0.26) Dialyzed: 10±10 hrs. Not dialyzed: 16±23 hrs.





### Only the victims with mild traumas can survive under the rubble for longer periods

Sever et al, Crit Care Med, 2002

## FIRST-LINE TREATMENT

### **FIRST-LINE TREATMENT**

#### Describes:

- Interventions at the field / field hospitals
- No lab. opportunity
- Diagnosis / therapy are based on clinical findings

#### **To prevent renal / systemic complications of crush:**

- Try to find a vein in any of the limbs
- Place an iv line; start isotonic saline (1 liter/h)
- Continue fluid administration during the rescue

### **Immediately After Rescue - I**

- Check the vital signs
- Identify type of trauma
- Perform a "primary survey"

- **A-** Airway
  - **B-** Breathing
  - **C-** Circulation
  - **D-** *Disability*
  - E- Exposure
  - F- Foley cath.
- ►G- Gastrik cath.

- Alert, talking,
- Well-oriented,
- Moving all extremities

Routine treatment

### **Immediately After Rescue - II**

Nonresponsive (~ fatal / mostly penetrating trauma)
⇒ treat according to local conditions

- In massive disasters, treat only the cases with ≥ 50% chance of survival (neglect hopeless cases)
- Non-massive disaster ⇒ treat accordingly
- Call help to transport the patients

Pepe and Kvetan, Crit Care Clin 1991

### **FIELD INTERVENTIONS - TRIAGE**

# 

- Sorting out and classification of casualties /
- Determining priority of need and proper place of treatment
- (1) Cases with serious vital risks
- (2) Emergency cases without vital risks



- (3) Non-emergency cases
- (4) Serious cases with no chance of survival
- (5) Nonsurvivors

Sever et al, NDT, 2002

### **Immediately After Rescue -III**

### Check urine production

Place a Foley catheter

#### **Oligo-anuric victims**

- Urinary tract trauma ?? Obstruction ??
- Hypovolemia ⇒ Compartment syndrome ??

⇒ Bleeding ⇒ STOP (tourniquets, compression bandages; blood, plasma, human albumin transfusion, colloids, saline inf.....)

## **Immediately After Rescue -IV**

#### Victims with some urine output (Iv. fluids 1 liter/h.)

- After rescue alkaline sol.
- Adequate urine response  $\Rightarrow$  +mannitol  $\rightarrow$  8-12 L/d.
- Target urine flow  $\geq$  300 ml/h.
- Less aggressively (4 6 L/day) in the elderly

#### **Other measures**

- Kayexalate
- Furosemide
- Vasodilator doses of dopamine ??

## CONCLUSIONS

- Disasters can occur at any time; disaster preparedness and scenarios are vital for an effective response.
- All types of RRT carries advantages and disadvantages in disaster crush victims; IHD is the most appropriate modality.
- Education of health care personnel, public, rescue teams and chronic dialysis patients can decrease death toll.

Renal Disaster Relief Task Force of the ISN- CRUSH SYNDROME PATIENTS FOLLOW-UP CHART															
Patient Name:				Gender:						Date	e of admission:				
Date	B.P.	Temp.	Intake	Urine volume	Hct	WBC	Ptt.	СК	Crea.	BUN	Na	к	Alb.	HD (yes/ho)	OTHER

#### Renal Disaster Relief Task Force of the ISN - CRUSH SYNDROME PATIENTS QUESTIONNAIRE -I

(Hospital:....)

Case No				DEMO	GRAPHY	,	TRAUMA					
	Name	Age	Gender	Chronic ilness before disaster	City (of origin)	City (where treated)	Date of admission	Time under rubble (hr)	Extremity trauma	Abdominal trauma	Thoracic trauma	Other
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												